



भारत सरकार
खान मंत्रालय
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, रायपुर

दूसरी मंजिल, जी.एस.आई.फील्ड प्रशिक्षण केन्द्र, महालेखाकार आफिस कोम्प्लेक्स,
पोस्ट-विधानसभा, रायपुर (छ.ग.),- 492005, फोन 0771-2282530.

संख्या: दुग / चूप / खयो-1144 / 2017-रायपुर

दिनांक - 05.04.2018

प्रेषित : श्रीमति लालमति सिंह,
पति श्री राजेन्द्र सिंह,
ई. डब्लू एस. - 93, वैशाली नगर,
भिलाई, जिला-दुर्ग,
छत्तीसगढ़ 492 001

विषय: खनिज संरक्षण एवं विकास नियमावली, 2017 के नियम 24(1) के अंतर्गत प्रस्तुत निकट
ग्राम - साहेगांव, तहसील - धमधा, जिला - दुर्ग (छग) में स्थित छापरभनपुरी चूना
पत्थर खान, क्षेत्रफल - 1.52 है.) की खान बंद करने की अंतिम योजना की प्रस्तुति।

महोदय,

आप द्वारा प्रस्तुत उपरोक्त क्षेत्र की खान बंद करने की अंतिम योजना की जाँच व खान निरीक्षण के उपरांत इसमें कमियाँ/त्रुटियों पाई गई हैं। संलग्नक में दर्शाई गयी कमियों/त्रुटियों को सुधारते हुए खान बंद करने की अंतिम योजना की (3) तीन स्वच्छ बाउण्ड प्रतियाँ एवं 2 सॉफ्ट कॉपी (CD) इस पत्र के जारी होने की तिथि से पंद्रह (15) दिनों की अवधि में इस कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत करें तथा यह भी सुनिश्चित करें कि तीन स्वच्छ प्रतियों के प्रत्येक पृष्ठ पर अर्हित व्यक्ति द्वारा हस्ताक्षर कर दिये गये हैं। तथा बिन्दुवार कमियाँ सुधार का विवरण भी प्रस्तुत करें।

आपको यह भी सलाह दी जाती है कि आप खान बंद करने की अंतिम योजना की तीन स्वच्छ बाउण्ड प्रतियाँ पूर्ण सावधानी से तैयार करें अन्यथा पुनः कमियाँ/त्रुटियाँ पाए जाने की स्थिति में यह आपको संशोधनार्थ न लौटाते हुए इस पर अंतिम कार्रवाई कर दी जायेगी।

आप कृपया खान बंद करने की अंतिम योजना के साथ प्रस्तुत की जाने वाली वित्तीय आश्वासन एम सी डी आर 2017 के अनुसार दो वर्ष की अवधि का (Financial Assurance) क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक, भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, रायपुर के पक्ष में प्रस्तुत करें। वित्तीय आश्वासन के अभाव में खान बंद करने की अंतिम योजना अपूर्ण मानते हुए अंतिम कार्रवाई कर दी जाएगी।

भवदीय,

संलग्न: यथोपरि

(बी एल. गुर्जर)
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

प्रतिलिपि:

1. खान नियंत्रक (मध्य), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, नागपुर। (ई मेल द्वारा)
2. डॉ मनोज कुमार, हाउस नं 150, वार्ड नं 13, नन्दिनी नगर, दुर्ग,। 490036

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

Inspection Report cum Scrutiny comments on the Final Mine Closure Plan for Sahgaon Limestone Mine over an extent of 1.52 Hectors in Sahgaon Village, Dhamdha, Tehsil, Durg District of Smt Lalmati Singh inspected by Rajesh Kumar Das, Senior Assistant Controller of Mines dated 19.03.2018.

1. **Review of Approved Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining:** The table furnished below also to included in the given Para and accordingly the details to be furnished

	a) Details of earlier Financial assurance submitted-
	b) Amount of Financial Assurance
	c) Validity of Financial Assurance-

	Give status of compliance of violations pointed out by IBM along with Monthly and annual returns.			
Sr no	Date of inspection	Violation date	Rule violated	Compliance status
i)				
ii)				

iii)	Monthly and Annual return submission status during last three years--	
------	---	--

2. Review of last approved Document needs to be given only. At page no 11 remark column contradictory statement is given with regards to production of limestone.
3. The status of violation pointed out by IBM is not mentioned and mine was under suspension is also not mentioned. The same should be elaborately discussed in the document.
4. The lease has been expired on 02.04.2017 and after one year the FMCP has been submitted. As per Rule lessee has to submit Financial Assurance Plan two years prior to propose Closure. The reason for above mentioned to be discussed.
5. The lease was given for 20 years period i.e. 03.04.1997 to 02.04.2017. The renewal application was submitted on time or not and copy of such application to enclosed.
6. The Co-ordinates of boundary pillars given in text as well as in plates, the co-ordinates are of GPS or DGPS to be mentioned and also clearly mentioned that it is authenticate by state govt. Or not.
7. In Final Closure Plan it was mentioned 2300 sqm area has been reclaimed by plantation is false information and the same may be corrected.
8. **Proposal of FMCP:** During the mine visit it was observed that most of the pit area is having one benches of 20-25 meter height therefore proposal should be given protective measures for stabilisation of mine bench and it should not collapse and remain stable.
9. **Water Quality management-** nothing is motioned about the pit water and its use in future.
10. **Time Scheduling for abandonment:** The table should be revised based on above mentioned point (point 6) for backfilling and protective measures for not collapsing the height benches. All activities for final closure needs to be planned within period of two years.

11. **Geology & Reserves:** The reserves should be calculated as per mineral content and mineral evidence Rule, 2015. There is no feasibility/prefeasibility report enclosed and reserves have been given in 211, the same should be clarified. In the given Para the balance mineral resource is furnished 344550 Tons in 211 category but the calculation in support is not furnished. The 7.5 meter safety barrier is almost excavated in maximum area and regarding benches there is mostly single benches in the pit. The calculation to be furnished and accordingly table should be revised.
12. **Economic Repercussion of closure-** Factual status needs to be given as mine is in state of nonworking from many years . statement given in par may be checked.
13. **Surface Plan:** There are following discrepancies observed in the given plates-
 - i. During mine visit it was observed that that working is extended outside lease area but in the plate 7.5 meter safety zone shown intact all around lease area.
 - ii. The pit is water logged during time of mine visit but the same is not reflected in the plan.
 - iii. In the western side of pit 3 benches shown in the plan but during mine visit only single bench of 20-25 height is observed.
 - iv. Afforestation and soil dump shown all around lease area which is actually not exist.
 - v. The sump location shown in the plan was not observed during mine visit in ML area.

In the light of above it is confirm that the survey is not proper therefore the area to be surveyed as per actual and accordingly the plate should be revised.

14. **Environment Plan:** The plate should be enclosed as per Rule 32(5)(b) of MCDR,1988 and in the given plate only the existing features with in lease area should be shown. The working area beyond lease area upto 500 meter is not shown in the given plate.
15. All the plates should be index properly as the features shown in the plan with the same colour code for clarity and signed with date.
16. The Copy of Violation letter and compliance reply to be enclosed.
17. The signature of lessee in certificate and undertaking enclosed as annexure IV & V is missing.
18. The copy of approval letter of last approved document should be enclosed.